



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 19066 of 2026

CNR No. - ODHC010442382026

Amulya Puhan and others

.... Petitioners

Mr. Pratyasish Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

.... Opp. Parties

Mr. Bibekananda Nayak, A.G.A.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

17.08.2026

Order No.

02.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. Heard Mr. P. Mohanty, learned counsel for the Petitioner.
2. Perused order dated 25.06.2026 passed by a co-ordinate bench of this Court.
3. Paragraph- 5,6,7 and 8 of the order are extracted below:

“5. In considered opinion of this Court, none of the observation and decision of the Additional District Magistrate is against the petitioners rather the administrative decision is for achieving peace and tranquility in the region as observed by the administrative authority. In considered view of this



Court, in judicial review under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the satisfaction of the authority cannot be looked into.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners take exception to the fifth subparagraph of the order dated 13.05.2026 at Annexure 9 which reads thus: “However, the Vysanagar Truck Owners Association had raised a grievance that the Jajpur Road Truck Owners Association was not renewing the vehicle registrations of its members in the register maintained by the Jajpur Road Truck Owners Association.”

7. Having gone through the said paragraphs, there is nothing to show that the petitioners can be aggrieved as it is a statement of facts alleged by the parties before the administrative authority. Certain others submissions have been made by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the present petitioners have formed a trade union due to which they have been barred to re-register their vehicles under the society-opposite party no.8. Regarding the same, it is apparent upon perusal of the representation of the petitioners at Annexure-8 dated 05.02.2026 was not the subject matter before the Additional District Magistrate.

8. This Court does not express any opinion if the petitioners can avail any remedy, if so advised under the provisions of law.”



4. When another coordinate Bench has considered the matter as above, it may not be proper for this Bench to take up the matter.

5. Hence, list this matter before the appropriate Bench after obtaining permission of the Hon'ble Chief Justice.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Subhalaxmi

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SUBHALAXMI PRIYADARSHANI
SAHOO
Reason: Authentication
Location: Orissa High Court, Cuttack
Date: 17-Aug-2026 19:15:38

