



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 922 of 2024

Susree Subinita

....

Petitioner

Mr. Sucheta Gumansingh, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Raj Bhusan Das, ASC

Mr. M.K. Mohapatra, Advocate (for O.P.3)

Mr. D.N. Mishra, Advocate (for O.P.4)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

ORDER

20.06.2025

Order No.

- 07.
1. Vide order dated 04.09.2024, this Court directed the learned AGA then to apprise this Court with regard to progress in the investigation by filing the status report subsequent to the entrustment of the investigation to the CID CB, Odisha, Cuttack.
 2. Mr. R.B. Das, learned Addl. Standing Counsel requests for some more time to file the status report. Needless to say that, on previous occasions this Court had already expressed its concern with regard to the lapses on the part of the authorities in placing the status report. Therefore, it is expected that the aforesaid conduct on the part of the Government is not repeated hence.
 3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner has been given liberty to move to the Debt Recovery Tribunal in W.P.(C) No.7559 of 2022.
 4. Having regard to the fact that liberty was given with a rider that in the event of any cause of action arises in future, the Petitioner



submits that pursuant to the notice received by the Petitioner on 12.06.2025, the cause of action now arises in her favour to move before the DRT.

5. Mr. M.K. Mohapatra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Bank (Opp.Party No.3) submits that the letter under reference, as has been received by the Petitioner dated 12.06.2025, is only a formal letter and there is no order with regard to dispossession of the Petitioner from the house in question and no specific date has been fixed for dispossession of the Petitioner, though the letter under reference specifically discloses that the peaceful possession of the property be given within 15 days, otherwise the possession will be taken up with the help of police administration.

6. Mr. Mohapatra further submits that, this being not a final letter for dispossession of the Petitioner, no interim order need be passed in connection with the matter as far as dispossession of the Petitioner is concerned.

7. In view of the above submission made by learned counsel for the Bank, while this court is not passing a specific order protecting the *lis*, it is made clear that, any action on the part of the Opposite Parties-Bank subsequently with regard to the dispossession of the Petitioner from the house in question shall be viewed seriously by this Court as it would attributable to the Bank.

8. List this matter on 11th July, 2025.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SAMIR KUMAR PARIDA
Designation: ADR-cum-Addl. Principal Secretary
Reason: Authentic Copy
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT, CUTTACK
Date: 23-Jun-2025 17:54:32