



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.18013 of 2026

***M/s. Bimala Rice Mill
represented
through its Partners, Shri
Manoj Padhi***

....

Petitioner

Mr. P.C. Das, Advocate

-versus-

- 1. Branch Manager, State
Bank of India, SME Aska,
At/P.O- Aska, Dist-Ganjam.***
- 2. Authorized Officer, State
Bank of India, SME Aska,
At/P.O-Aska, Dist-Ganjam.***
- 3. Authorized Officer, State
Bank of India, Stressed
Assets Recovery Branch,
Bhubaneswar, Khurda***
- 4. Collector-cum-District
Magistrate, Ganjam, At/P.O-
Chhatrapur***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC
Mr. H. Patnaik, Advocate

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. NARASINGH**

ORDER

09.06.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Virtual Mode.
2. Heard Mr. Das, learned counsel for the Petitioner, Mr. Singh, learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State and Mr. Patnaik, learned counsel for the Opposite Parties-Bank.



3. Perused the Writ Application as well as the documents annexed thereto.

4. Being aggrieved by notice dated 25.05.2026 at Annexure-1, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ application.

5. On perusal of the record, it appears that the Petitioner had earlier approached this Court by filing W.P.(C) No.4356 of 2026, which was disposed of vide order dated 10.03.2026 granting one month time to the Petitioner to deposit the entire outstanding mount in the Bank. Thereafter, the Petitioner again moved this Court by filing W.P.(C) No.13730 of 2026, which was also disposed of by Coordinate Bench vide order dated 18.05.2026 granting further two weeks' time to the Petitioner to make entire payment as has been directed earlier by the Coordinate Bench.

Despite such opportunity being granted by the Coordinate Bench, the Petitioner has failed to deposit the money.

6. Mr. Das, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to settle the amount however he has not been provided with the details by the bank and that the Petitioner does not know as to how much is the outstanding. He also contended that the payments to the tune of about Rs.50 lakhs have not been adjusted against the outstanding dues of the Petitioner.

7. Mr. Patnaik, learned counsel appearing for the Opposite Parties-Bank on the other hand objected to the maintainability of the present writ application on the ground that the Petitioner has failed to comply with the direction(s) of the Coordinate Bench in the earlier two writ applications.

He further submitted that the Petitioner has no intention to repay the amount to the Bank. He also contended that any interference



by this Court at this stage would cause serious prejudice the financial interest of the Bank and seeks dismissal of the writ application.

8. Taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, particularly keeping in view the undertaking given by Mr. Das, learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Lakhs) upfront within a week, this Court grants a week time to the Petitioner to make such deposit with the bank by filing an affidavit indicating therein regarding the modality of payment of the balance amount.

Such affidavit be filed within a week after serving a copy thereof on Mr. Patnaik, learned counsel appearing for the Bank.

9. List this matter on 17.06.2026.

I.A No.10950 of 2026

10. Heard.

11. As an interim measure, it is directed that no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner till the next date, if he deposits the sum of Rs.25,00,000/- within a week as undertaken.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Vacation Judge

(V. Narasingh)
Vacation Judge