



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.5942 of 2026

Dabbiru Satish ... ***Petitioner***

Mr. C. Khatua, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha ... ***Opposite Party***

Mr. T.K. Acharya, Addl. PP

Mr. A. Mishra, Advocate(Informant)

CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL): 13.07.2026

Order No.

03.

IA No. 469 of 2026

1. This is an application by the petitioner for grant of interim bail to attend his ailing son D Hari Hara Satya Sai Kiran.
2. Heard, Mr. Chandrakanta Khatua, learned counsel for the petitioner; Mr. Adyasidhi Mishra, learned counsel for the informant and Mr. T.K. Acharya, learned Addl. PP in the matter and perused the record together with the written instruction received from IIC, Chandpur PS.
3. No doubt, the Petitioner has advanced illness of his son as a ground for grant of interim bail, but in the regular bail application, he has taken advance stage of pregnancy of his wife as a ground for grant of bail and searching her husband to see. Besides, the documents as produced by the Petitioner itself show that the detention of the petitioner is not a hindrance to the treatment of his son, which is evident from the documents showing treatment of his son at various hospitals conducting different test. What is most significant in this case is the written instruction



received from the IIC, wherein the IIC has stated the following:-

"5. That, it is pertinent to submit that at the time of apprehension of the accused at Visakhapatnam, he **deliberately created a serious law and order situation by instigating and gathering members of the general public in an attempt to obstruct the police from effecting his arrest and to facilitate his escape from lawful custody. He also exerted pressure on the police through different forums.** The said incident was immediately reported to the Superintendent of Police, Nayagarh, over telephone and was also intimated to the concerned authorities of the Andhra Pradesh Police vide this Police Station Letter and **W.T. Message No.713 dated 27.04.2026 (copy enclosed).**

6. That, it is further humbly submitted that, **as per the information received from the authorities of the Sub-Jail, Ranapur, the accused's son, namely D. Hari Hara Satya Sai Kiran, has been visiting the accused regularly in jail, his latest visit being on 07.07.2026.** This clearly indicates that the accused's son is physically capable of travelling and does not appear to be suffering from any such serious medical condition as has been contended by the accused in the interim application seeking interim bail before the Hon'ble High Court.

7. That, in the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **if the accused is released on bail, there exists every likelihood that he may abscond, evade the due process of law, influence or intimidate the prosecution witnesses, tamper with the evidence, and thereby seriously prejudice the fair conduct of the trial.** It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to reject the prayer for bail made by the accused in the interest of justice and for ensuring a fair and effective prosecution."

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and taking into consideration the written instruction as



received and there being no hindrance in treatment of the son of the Petitioner, this Court does not find any good ground to grant interim bail to the Petitioner and, therefore, the prayer for interim bail of the petitioner stands dismissed.

5. Accordingly, the IA stands disposed of.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge

Priyajit