



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No. 2237 of 2025

Ratikanta Rout

....

Petitioner(s)

Mr. Satya Ranjan Mulia,
Advocate

-Versus-

Deputy Director,
Enforcement Directorate
& Ors.

....

Opposite Party (s)

Mr. Partha Sarathi Nayak,
Advocate for O.P No.1

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

27.02.2026

Order No.

I.A. No.498 of 2026

14.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. This I.A. has been filed by the Opposite Party No.1 for modification of the order dated 21.01.2026 passed by this Court in CRLMC No.2237 of 2025.
3. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
4. Upon hearing learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned counsel for the Opposite Parties, this Court is of the view that the I.A. filed by the applicant/ Opposite Party No.1 suffers from a cogent ground to interfere in the aforesaid order as the order has been passed having given due regard to the submission made by the learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.1/Deputy Director, Enforcement Directorate on the date of hearing. Hence,



the ground for modification of the order dated 21.01.2026 is unsustainable.

5. Accordingly, the I.A. stands rejected.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Gitanjali