



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.18983 of 2026

Arun Kumar Mohanta

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Saroja Kanta Samal

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
C.M. Singh, A.S.C.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

01.07.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“Therefore, in view of the above-said fact and circumstances, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue notice to the Opp. Parties and after hearing the parties, may direct the Opp. Parties to appoint the petitioner as a Contract Teacher under T.G.T. Arts of P.H. Category in respect of Mayurbhanj District;

And/or pass any other order as may be deemed just and proper in the circumstances of this case.”

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at the outset, contended



that initially the Petitioner approached the learned Odisha Administrative Tribunal by filing O.A with the prayer indicated therein. He further contended that after abolition of the Odisha Administrative Tribunal, the matter was transferred to this Court and the same was renumbered as WPC(OAC) No.125 of 2014. He further contended that a Coordinate Bench disposed of the said writ application vide order dated 18.12.2023 thereby granting liberty to the petitioner to file representation before the Opposite Party No.1 for redressal of his grievance with a corresponding direction to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the same within three months. He further contended that pursuant to order dated 18.12.2023 although the Petitioner approached the Opposite Party No.1 by filing a representation, however, the Opposite Party No.1 did not disposed of the representation. He further contended that since the time for filing of the contempt application is elapsed, the petitioner could not challenge the inaction of the Opposite Party No.1, who has allegedly violated this Court's order dated 18.12.2023. Accordingly, the Petitioner has once again approached this Court by filing the present writ application.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, submitted that he has no specific instruction in the matter. However, taking into consideration the fact that the Petitioner's grievance has not been considered by the Opposite Party No.1 and in the event this Court directs the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the same in accordance with law and the applicable rules, then he will have no objection to the same.

6. Considering the submissions made by counsels for the



respective parties, on a careful examination of the background facts as well as the documents annexed to the writ application, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the present writ application by granting liberty to the Petitioner to approach the Opposite Party No.1 by filing a detailed representation taking therein all the grounds along with all supporting documents and a copy of today's order within three weeks from today. In such eventuality, the Opposite Party No.1 shall do well to consider the grievance of the Petitioner and dispose of the representation of the Petitioner by passing a speaking and reasoned order within eight weeks from the date the Petitioner approaches the Opposite Party No.1. The final decision so taken be communicated to the Petitioner within 10 days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations/ directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil