



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CONTC No.2865 of 2025

**1) Siba Prasad Parida @
Mahesh Kumar Parida**

.....

Petitioners

2) Sankarsan Parida

Represented By Adv. -
Nirod Kumar Sahu

-versus-

Muralidhar

.....

Opposite

Urmal,tahasildar,lathikata,sundergarh

Parties

Represented By
Adv. –

Gyanlok
Mohanty, SC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA CHANDRA
BEHERA

ORDER
21.07.2025

Order No.

02. **CONTC No.2865 of 2025 and I.A. No.253 of 2025**

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. This CONTC was listed today for filing of an affidavit by the learned SC for the State on behalf of Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata explaining cause of non-compliance of direction given in W.P. (C) No.2270 of 2025.
3. Today, without submitting any affidavit on behalf of Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata, an interlocutory application vide I.A. No.253 of 2025 has been filed by Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata praying for allowing him three months time to



comply the final order dated 09.04.2025 passed in W.P. (C) No.2270 of 2025 (in respect of non-compliance of which, the CONTC has been filed by the petitioners) stating in the I.A. that, the matter is related to pre-settlement, for which, verification of the records pertaining to pre-settlement and after settlement are very much essential which is time consuming. For which, 3 months' time is required to comply the order dated 09.04.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No.2270 of 2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners drew attention of the Court to the counter affidavit dated 25.03.2025 submitted by the Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata in W.P.(C) No.2270 of 2025, wherein it has been stated in Para Nos.6 & 7 thereof about the correspondence (relation) between pre-settlement record and after settlement record of the case land.

5. So, in view of the aforesaid counter affidavit dated 25.03.2025 submitted by the Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata, his prayer made in the I.A. vide I.A. No.253 of 2025 to allow him three months' time to comply the order dated 09.04.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No.2270 of 2025 for comparison of pre-settlement record and after settlement record of the case land cannot be allowed.

6. For which, the prayer of the Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata in I.A. No.253 of 2025 to grant him three months' time to comply order dated



09.04.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No.2270 of 2025 is disallowed.

7. Accordingly, the I.A. No.253 of 2025 filed by Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata is disposed of finally.

8. In view of the refusal of the prayer of Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata in I.A.No.253 of 2025, it is felt proper to direct Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata to comply the direction given in the order dated 09.04.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No.2270 of 2025 positively within a month and to report about such compliance in reference to the CONTC for hearing of the CONTC.

9. Registry is directed to communicate the copy of this order to Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata.

10. Free copy of this order be supplied to the learned SC for the State.

11. List this CONTC on 08.09.2025 awaiting the intimation from Mr. Muralidhar Urmal, Tahasildar, Lathikata.

(ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA)
Judge

Utkalika