



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.15911 of 2022

Kankalata Nayak & Ors.

Petitioners

Mr. M.K. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Panda, ASC

*Ms. R. Panda, Adv. appearing on
behalf of Mr.B.K. Mishra, Adv. for O.P.4 to 6*

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

11.03.2026

Order No.

- 18.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
- 3.** Ms. R. Panda, learned counsel appearing for the Opposite Party Nos.4 to 6 contended that vide office order dtd.31.07.2023 so issued by Opposite Party No.3, private Opposite Party Nos.4 & 5 have already been disengaged.
- 4.** Placing reliance on the document enclosed to the affidavit so filed by Opposite Party No.3 vide Annexure-C/3, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that after such disengagement of the private



Opposite Party Nos.4 & 5, they have again been reengaged vide order dtd.24.04.2023.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the private Opposite Parties and the documents available under Annexure-C/3 to the affidavit, learned Addl. Standing Counsel for the State is directed to obtain instruction in the matter and file an affidavit as to whether persons placed at Sl. Nos.3 and 11 of letter dtd.24.08.2023 are continuing in the establishment of Opposite Party No.3.

6. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel for the State is also directed to obtain instruction as to whether Opposite Party No.6 is continuing in the establishment of Opposite Party No.3 as on date as contended by the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner.

7. List this matter on 8th April, 2026.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat