



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.15911 of 2022

***Kanakalata Nayak &
Ors.***

....

Petitioners

Mr. M.K. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisah & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

Mr. B.K. Mishra, Adv. for O.P.4 to 6

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
08.08.2025**

Order No.

13. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners contended that while continuing in the establishment of Opposite Party No.3 and prior to their disengagement vide order no.8248, dtd.31.05.2022 under Annexure-5, the private Opposite Parties were engaged vide order no.8245, dtd.31.05.2022 in the self-same work.

4. It is also contended that while disengaging the Petitioner, the private Opposite Parties could not have been re-engaged through the self-same outsourcing agency on the very same day.



4. It is also contended that even though a counter affidavit has been filed by Opposite Party No.3, but the aforesaid fact has not been dealt with specifically.

5. Learned Addl. Government Advocate for the State is directed to file an affidavit through Opposite Party No.3 justifying the dis-engagement of the Petitioner and re-engagement of the Petitioner on the very same day and that too prior to dis-engagement of the Petitioners.

6. As requested by Mr. C.K. Pradhan, learned Addl. Government Advocate for the State, list this matter in the week commencing 1st September, 2025.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat