



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
ABLAPL No.6523 of 2026

Alok Parmanik @ Alok Pramanik **Petitioner**

Mr. D.K. Sahoo, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha **Opposite Parties**
2. Victim/Informant

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER
17.06.2026

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioner is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with C.T. Case No.1922 of 2024 pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C.-V, Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurda arising out of Airport P.S. Case No.324 of 2024 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 351(2)/ 69 of BNS, 2023.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Petitioner that a consensual relationship having gone awry, the Petitioner has been falsely implicated. Hence, he may be protected by pre-arrest bail.
4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail.



5. Taking into account the nature of allegation and on perusal of the case diary, it is seen that the Informant has not been able to produce any material to substantiate her allegations regarding transgression of her dignity. Hence, this Court directs that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioner shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper.

6. It is directed that the Petitioner shall appear once a week before the jurisdictional Police Station on such date and time to be fixed by the learned Court in seisin till submission of the final form.

7. Additionally, he shall not in any way try to intimidate or threaten the Informant or her family..

Liberty is granted to the Prosecution/Informant to seek variance of this order, if there is any perceptible threat perception.

8. It is needless to state that the Petitioner shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

9. The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Vacation Judge