



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMP No.716 of 2026

Shantilata Padhi @ Santi Padhi and Petitioner
another

Mr. R. K. Sahu, Advocate

-versus-

Pratita Kumar Padhy @ Priti Opp. Party

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

15.07.2026

Order No.
02.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. Grievance of the Petitioner is that although the Petition dated 09.05.2026 had been posted to 09.10.2025 for order in the Court of the learned SDJM, *Berhampur, but no order was passed on the said date, for which the application of the Petitioner for certified copy of the order passed on 09.10.2025 has been returned with the noting that “no such order passed in this case record on dt.09.10.2025.”

*corrected vide
order dated
20.07.2026

2. Since, it was the specific contention of the Petitioner that even though the case was posted to 09.10.2025, no order has been passed on the said date, this Court had called for a report from the learned SDJM, Berhampur regarding the status



of Misc. Case No. 41 of 2025 as well as the status of the petition filed by the Petitioner on 05.09.2025.

3. Perused the status report dated 14.07.2026 of the learned SDJM, Berhampur. The report is extracted below:

“The case record was received on dtd. 27.01.2025 from the Women Protection Officer, Ganjam, Chatrapur. On dtd. 07.07.2025. Ld. Advocate Smt. Anusava Prasad Nayak filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner. Sint. Pratita Kumari Padhi. On dtd. 24.07.2025 Ld. Advocate for the complainant filed a petition under Section 23(1) of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005. On dtd. 04.08.2025, an interim order was passed directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to peacefully reside in her matrimonial house or in the alternative payment of house rent @ Rs. 5,000/- per month in addition to maintenance @ Rs. 8,000/- per month, with effect from dtd. 27.01.2025. On dtd. 05.09.2025, Ld. Advocate Shri Dipak Pattnaik appeared on behalf of accused persons No. 2, Smt. Shantilata Padhi, and No. 3, Smt. Manjula Panigrahi, and filed Vakalatnama. He also submitted a petition for alteration of order dated 04.08.2025. On dtd. 06.09.2025, Ld. Advocate for the respondents filed photographs and land documents. A copy of the counter was served on the advocate for the respondents on dtd. 18.09.2025. The matter was posted to 24.09.2025 for hearing. Thereafter, it was reposted to 09.10.2025, and



then to 17.12.2025 for hearing and appearance of other respondents. On dtd. 17.12.2025, Ld. Advocate Sri Srimanta Kumar Panda appeared on behalf of respondent No. 1, Sri Ranjan Kumar Padhy. The matter was posted to 07.01.2026 for hearing on the petition and counter filed by respondent No. 1. On dtd. 10.02.2026, the matter was posted for hearing on the petition dated 05.09.2025. On dtd. 10.07.2026 Ld. Advocate for respondent No. 1 filed his counter to the original petition. The matter was posted to 21.07.2026 for hearing on the petition filed on dtd. 05.09.2025 and appearance of rest of the respondents numbering 4 to 7 namely 4. Puspa Padhy, 5. Rojalin Panigrahi, 6. Sasmita Choudhury and 7. Narayan Choudhury.”

4. The said report does not reveal if any order has been passed on 09.10.2025.

5. As copy of the order dated 09.10.2025 has not been granted to the Petitioner, the learned SDJM, Berhampur is directed to send a scanned copy of the order sheet in Misc. Case No. 41 of 2025 containing orders up to 21.07.2026* for perusal of this Court.

6. List this matter on 29.07.2026 along with the report.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge