

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

MACA No.191 of 2016

Sudarshan Mallick

....

Appellant

Mr. B.N. Samantaray, Advocate

-versus-

Madhusmita Mohapatra and Another

....

Respondents

Ms. R. Pati, counsel for Respondent No.2

CORAM:

SHRI JUSTICE B. P. ROUTRAY

ORDER

3.11.2022

Order No.

- 07.
1. The matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. Heard Mr. B.N. Samantaray, learned counsel for the claimant – Appellant and Ms. R. Pati, learned counsel for insurer – Respondent No.2.
 3. The main grievance of the claimant in the appeal is that the loss of income sustained by him has not been considered by the tribunal.
 4. It is seen from page 6 of the impugned judgment that the tribunal disbelieved the contention of the claimant on the ground that he being a Government servant must have encashed his leave period.

5. Being asked, Mr. Samantaray denies the same and submits that such period has been treated as a break in his service and he has not received any remuneration for that period of leave taken for treatment.
6. In order to satisfy such contention, Mr. Samantaray undertakes to produce copy of his service book.
7. List the matter on 22nd December, 2022.

(B.P. Routray)
Judge

M.K.Panda

