



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMC No.2094 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010394512026

Pradip Kumar Beuria

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. Lalitendu Mishra

-versus-

1) STATE OF ODISHA

.....

Opposite Parties

2) KELU CHARAN CHARAN

Represented by Adv. –

BEHERA@KALU@CHITTA RANJAN

Mr. D.K. Sahoo, AGA

PATTANAIAK

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

03.08.2026

Order No.

- 03.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate for the State. Perused the CRLMC application filed under Section 528 of the BNSS.
 3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at the outset, contended that the Petitioner who happens to be the husband of the Informant in G.R. Case No.194 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Pipili has approached this Court thereby challenging order dated 08.04.2026 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Puri in Criminal



Revision No.5/24 of 2025, whereby the order dated 08.09.2025 of the learned trial Court was affirmed.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at this juncture contended that in course of investigation, the police has freezed certain bank accounts where the money allegedly cheated from the present informant has been deposited by the accused persons. Accordingly, the informant-victim moved an application before the learned trial Court with a prayer to defreeze the bank account and seeking permission of the learned trial Court to transfer the money lying in the freezed bank account to the account of the informant-petitioner. Such application of the Petitioner was rejected by the learned trial Court initially on 08.09.2025. Where after, the Informant moved a revision petition before the learned 1st ADJ, Puri. Such revision having been dismissed vide order dated 08.04.2026, the Petitioner has once again approached this Court by filing the present application under Section 528 of the BNSS.

5. This Court is of the view that in the present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the Informant-Petitioner seeks to revise order dated 08.09.2025, which is in the nature of a second revision. It is the settled position of law that the second revision is barred under the law. Accordingly, learned counsel for the Petitioner sought for liberty to convert this application to one under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

6. Pursuant to the order dated 08.07.2026, learned Addl. Government Advocate files the instruction received from the I.I.C. of Pipili Police Station dated 02.08.2026. The same is taken on record.



7. Considering such prayer, this Court directs the registry to convert this application to one under Article 227 of the Constitution of India and the matter be placed before the appropriate assigned Bench as expeditiously as possible.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir