



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

**W.P.(C) No.16586 of 2026**

***Umesh Chandra Debata*** .....

***Petitioner***  
***Mr. P.K. Rout, Adv.***

*-versus-*

***State of Odisha & Ors.*** .....

***Opposite Parties***  
***Mr. S.P. Das, ASC***

**COROM:**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER**

**17.06.2026**

**Order No**

**01.**

- 1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
- 3.** The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

*“It is therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to:-*

*i) Admit the writ application.*

*ii) Call for the records.*

*iii) Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions, directing the opposite parties to sanction one notional increment in favour of the petitioner for the period from 01.06.2023 to 31.05.2024 for the purpose of pensionary benefits and further the pension of the petitioner may be revised accordingly, keeping in view the law decided by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of **The Director (Admn. And HR)***



***KPTCL & Ors. Vrs. C.P. Mundinamani & Ors reported in 2023 Live Law (SC) 296, so also the similar judgments passed by this Hon'ble court in the case of Arun Kumar Biswal Vrs. State of Odisha & Anr. Reported in 2021(II) OLR-529, which has been further confirmed in W.A No.117 of 2022 disposed of on 26.02.2024, as well as the similar order passed in the case of Pradipta Kumar Satpathy Vrs. State of Odisha & Ors (W.P.(C) No.35105 of 2021 disposed of on 09.07.2024) under Annexure-3 series and 4 respectively, by taking into consideration the Office Memorandum issued by the Finance department dt.22.01.2025 under Annexure-5 and to extent all other consequential benefits in terms of Rule-9(1) of the Orissa Education (Recruitment and Condition of Service of Teaching and Members of the Staff of Aided Education Institution) Rules, 1974, as per the law laid down in the case of Smt. Dipti Roy vrs. State of Orissa and others reported in 2004 (II) OLR 718, Bijay Ketan Khatua vrs. State of Orissa and others reported in 2015 (1) OLR 452 and Raghunath Parhi Vrs. State of Odisha & Ors. reported in 2023 SCC Online Ori 6452, within a reasonable time stipulated by this Hon'ble court.***

*iv) And/or pass other such order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit and proper for the ends of justice.*

*And for this act of kindness, as in duty bound, the petitioner shall ever pray.”*

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-6 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing



Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

**(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)**  
***Vacation Judge***

*Sipra*