



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No. 14695 of 2022

Pitamber Rout

.....

Petitioner

Mr. B.R. Barik, Advocate

-versus-

***MD., Odisha Lift Irrigation
Corp. Ltd., BBSR & Ors.***

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P. Parida, Advocate
on behalf of

Mr. B.K. Sharma, Sr. Advocate

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

20.04.2026

Order No. 03

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
3. Even though AD has not come back from Opp. Party No. 3, Mr. P. Parida, learned counsel appearing for Mr. B.K. Sharma, learned Sr. Counsel appearing for Opp. Party No. 1, contended that he can make his appearance on behalf of Opp. Party No. 3.
4. In view of the same, no fresh notice be issued to Opp. Party No. 3.
5. Mr. Parida, learned counsel is directed to make his appearance for Opp. Party No. 3 in the meantime.
6. Even though claim of the Petitioner has already been rejected vide communication available under Annexure-7, no challenge being made to the said communication, it is the view of this Court that the present writ petition is not maintainable.



7. At this point of time, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner prays for some time to file appropriate application for amendment of the writ petition.

8. List this matter after filing of any such application.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha