



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.14723 of 2025

Manohar Bhoi

....

Petitioner

Mr. D.K. Sahu, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

COROM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

22.07.2025

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for appearing for the Parties.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

"In the therefore prays that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to issue a rule NISI calling upon the opposite parties as to why petitioner shall not extend the benefit of Sikshya Sahayak as per the Judgment dtd. 05.03.2020 passed in W.P(C) No. 31679 of 2011 Dhananjay Chanan Day & Others -Vrs State of Orissa and Bishnu Naik and others-vs-State Of Odisha in W.A No.524 of 2024, i.e. to absorb the petitioner as Sikshya Sahayak from date of engagement, pursuant to resolution dated. 16.02.2008 and given all the consequential service benefits of regular teacher after completion Six years of Service within a stipulated time. If the opposite party fail to file show cause or show in sufficient cause this Hon'ble would be made absolute the said rule and issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ directing the Opposite Parties to absorb the petitioners as Sikshya Sahayak w.e.f. his date of engagement, pursuant to resolution doted. 16.02.2008 and to extend all the consequential service benefits of regular teacher after completion Six years of Service in the light judgment of Dhanjaya Charan Dey -Ves- State of Orissa and



Bishnu Naik and others-Vrs- State Of Odisha, within a stipulated time.

And, further be pleased to pass such other order/orders, direction/directions as deemed fit and proper in the interest of Justice so as to give complete relief to the petitioner and, for the act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound to shall ever pray.”.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7 within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SUBRAT KUMAR BARIK
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 23-Jul-2025 16:09:40

