



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.11901 of 2024

Mr. D. Govinda Rao

....

Petitioner

Mr. S.P. Mishra, Sr. Advocate

-versus-

**The Chairman, Dept. of
Atomic Energy, Mumbai &
Ors.**

Opposite Parties

Mr. B.S. Rayaguru, CGC

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

06.08.2025

Order No.

- 07.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
3. Mr. S.P. Mishra, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that even though there is no such provision to take an undertaking from the Petitioner as available under Annexure-8, but on the ground of non-submission of such undertaking, Petitioner was terminated from his services vide order under Annexure-10.
4. It is further contended that even though a counter affidavit has been filed, but no explanation has been given with regard to the requirement of the undertaking to be furnished by an employee.



5. Mr. B.S. Rayaguru, learned CGC is directed to apprise this Court as to under which provision, such an undertaking is required to be furnished by the Petitioner.

6. As requested, list this matter on 20th August, 2025.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat