



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WA No.702 of 2023

State of Odisha & Others **Appellants**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA
and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -

Dr. Balaram Sahu **Respondent**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. Krishna Chandra Sahu, Advocate

WA No.389 of 2023

State of Odisha & Others **Appellants**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA
and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -

Dr. Guruprasad Acharya **Respondent**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. Budhadev Routray, Sr. Advocate,
along with Mr. Krishna Chandra Sahu, Advocate and
Mr. Shakti Sekhar, Advocate

WA No.815 of 2023

State of Odisha & Others **Appellants**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA
and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -

Dr. Mahendranath Mohanta **Respondent**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. Budhadev Routray, Sr. Advocate,
along with Mr. Shakti Sekhar, Advocate

WA No.901 of 2023

State of Odisha & Others **Appellants**

Represented by Adv.–
Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA
and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -



Dr. Sanjib Kumar Panda

....

Respondent

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. Krishna Chandra Sahu, Advocate

WA No.1308 of 2023

State of Odisha & Others

....

Appellants

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA

and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -

Dr. Chittaranjan Mohapatra

....

Respondent

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. Budhadev Routray, Sr. Advocate,

along with Mr. Shakti Sekhar, Advocate

WA No.1562 of 2023

State of Odisha & Others

....

Appellants

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA

and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -

Dr. Nabin Kumar Behera & Another

....

Respondents

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. Krishna Chandra Sahu, Advocate

WA No.2998 of 2024

State of Odisha & Others

....

Appellants

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. S.B. Panda, AGA

and Mr. D. Mohanty, AGA

-Versus -

Dr. Kamala Agrawal & Another

....

Respondents

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. Krishna Chandra Sahu, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

AND

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO



Order No.

ORDER

14.10.2025

(Hybrid mode)

03. 1. None appeared for the respondent when the matter was called at 11.00 A.M. To grant opportunity, we directed the matter to be passed over and thus after the matter is again taken up at 11.25 A.M.

2. While we are dictating the order, granting adjournment to the Additional Government Advocate as he had sought for to obtain instruction in terms of our earlier order dated 15.09.2025, the learned counsel for the respondent Mr. Sahu interjects to interrupt us from completing the order. When we ask him, why he is interrupting the Court in dictating the order, he says that he has provided the copy of the order relied upon by him to the Court.

In our considered view, we had never asked any of the learned counsel to provide any copy of the order today, rather we have recorded the submission of the learned AGA that he wants to seek instruction as undertaken earlier by him.

3. As prayed for by the learned AGA for the appellant-State, list on 11th November, 2025 under the heading “For Orders”.

(Manash Ranjan Pathak)
Judge

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge.

Narayan/Ranjeeta