



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.14187 of 2026

Prasanna Kumar Patnaik

.... **Petitioner**
Mr. A. Das, Advocate

-versus-

**State Bank of India, represented
through its Regional Manager &
another**

.... **Opp. Parties**

Mr. A.P. Mishra, Advocate

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

Order No.

ORDER
08.05.2026

01. 1. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
2. By means of this application, the Petitioner seeks for the following relief:-

“It is therefore prayed that, this Hon'ble Court would graciously be pleased to issue Rule NISI in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and/or any other appropriate writ and/or writs, direction and/or directions, order and/or orders, calling upon the Opp. Parties, more specifically Opp. Party No. 2, to show cause as to why necessary directions shall not be issued for disbursement of funds of Late Mohan Chand Patnaik in the bank account bearing Account No. 00000011349722724 at Digapahandi Branch, in favor of the petitioner being the registered nominee and if the Opp. Parties fail to show cause and/or show insufficient and/or false cause make the said Rule absolute, and/or pass any other order as deemed proper, in the interest of justice;”

3. In course of hearing in the application, Mr. Das, learned counsel for the Petitioner fairly admitted that there is no written claim made before the Bank for release of the amount standing in the account of the Deceased-Late Mohan Chand Patnaik in



Account No.00000011349722724, although he stakes his claim to be the nominee as well as the person holding the will in his favour.

4. Mr. Mishra, learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties-Bank, submits that no claim has yet been made before the Bank.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner too admitted to have not approached the Bank with any proposal. Accordingly, if the Petitioner submits his claim before the Bank, in appropriate manner, the Bank shall consider the same in accordance with the extant rule and guideline.

6. Needless to mention that, in the event a claim is made by the Petitioner appropriately, the Bank shall consider it as expeditiously as possible.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Sarbani

