



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.13288 of 2026

Jagadish Naik

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Aurobinda Sahoo

-versus-

State of Orissa and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.K. Parhi, ASC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA

ORDER
07.05.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned
 counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application
 as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the
 following prayer:

“Under the above circumstances, it is humbly
prayed that the writ petition may be allowed;

And

(a) a writ of mandamus or an appropriate
writ may be issued commanding the
opposite parties more particularly
promptitude steps; opp. party No.5 to take in
the matter of disbursing the entire arrear
block grant / Grant-in-Aid salary amount for
the period from 01.01.2014 to till



31.12.2017 of Rs.300240/- (Rupees Three Lakhs Two Thousand Forty only) and from 01.01.2018 to till 28.02.2015 of Rs.3320490/- (Rupees Thirty-three Lakhs Twenty Thousand Four Hundred Ninety only) in favour of the present petitioner, keeping in view of the Govt. of Odisha, department of Higher Education order No.46320 dtd.05.11.2022 along with interest per annum; within a reasonable time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble court and be further direct to the Opp. Party Nos.I to take legal appropriate action against the erring Principal for not disbursing sanctioned amount, which is legal entitlement of the petitioner;

(b) and any other order/orders or direction/directions may be issued so as to give complete relief to the petitioner;”

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that the Petitioner, who is having the requisite qualification and experience, was appointed by the governing body as a lecturer in Odia on 11.03.2004. Thereafter, his appointment was approved in the 2nd post of lecturer in Odia w.e.f. 01.01.2014 by virtue of the letter of the Director, Higher Secondary Education, Odisha dated 21.04.2025. Thereafter, while the Petitioner was continuing in the said post his post was approved by the Director, Higher Secondary Education, Odisha vide letter dated 03.05.2025.

5. He further contended that after the post as well as the appointment of the Petitioner was approved the institution in question submitted the arrear salary bills of the Petitioner for the



period from 01.01.2014 to 31.12.2017 and from 01.01.2018 to 28.02.2025. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at this juncture further contended that although the arrear salary bill has been sanctioned and the money has been deposited in the account of the institution in question, the Petitioner has not been paid his arrear salary by the institution in question. Being aggrieved by such conduct of the Opposite Party No.5, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ petition.

6. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand contended that the Petitioner does not have any grievance against the State-Opposite Parties. He further submitted that according to the Petitioner his arrear salary has already been deposited in the account of the Opposite Party No.5-institution. However, as per the allegation of the Petitioner, the same has not been disbursed in his favour. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the State contended that the dispute is between the Petitioner and the Opposite Party No.5. He further submitted that in the event the Petitioner has not been paid his arrear salary as is due and admissible, he can very well approach the Opposite Party No.4. He further submitted that in the event any such direction is given to the Opposite Party No.4 to consider the grievance of the Petitioner in accordance with law, he will have no objection to the same.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties, on a careful analysis of their submission and on a close scrutiny of the document annexed to the writ petition, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the writ petition by granting liberty to the Petitioner to approach the



Opposite Party No.4 along with a copy of today's order. In such eventuality, the Opposite Party No.4 shall take necessary consequential steps as per law to ensure that the dues, as is admissible to the Petitioner, are paid to him, provided there are no other legal impediments, within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of a certified copy of today's order. It is open to the Opposite Party No.4 to take any coercive steps against the Opposite Party No.5-institution if it is found that the Opposite Party No.5 is at fault. Any decision taken by the Opposite Party No.4 be communicated to the Petitioner from time to time.

8. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout