



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.14181 of 2026

Trinath Harijan

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Ranjit Mohanty

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

07.05.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“It Is, therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue RULE NISI calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why the impugned order of transfer dated 06.10.2025, annexure-3(Series)(1), in so far it relates to the petitioner shall not be set aside / quashed declaring and directing that the same has been passed by an incompetent authority without jurisdiction in terms of Para 6 of the Notification of the Govt, dated 14.05.2025, and on such basis



further to show cause as to why the impugned relieve order dated 08.10.2025, Annexure-3(Series)(2), and the order of rejection dated 04.02.2026, Annexure-4, shall not be set aside / quashed, and on such basis direction shall not be issued directing the Opp. Party no. 4 to allow the petitioner to continue in the place from which he was transferred in view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court dt. 27.11.2025, with all service and financial benefits within a time stipulated period as this Hon'ble Court pleases to fix and upon perusal of the causes shown, if any, or upon insufficient causes shown, make this Rule NISI absolute and pass such other order / orders as is deem just and proper.

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.”

4. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that although being aggrieved by the decision of the Opposite Parties rejecting his prayer vide order dated 04.02.2026 at Annexure-4 the Petitioner has already preferred an appeal before the Director, Elementary Education on 16.04.2026 at Annexure-6, however such appeal has not been taken up. Being aggrieved by delay in disposal of the appeal, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ application.

5. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner, he further taking into consideration the fact that the appeal has been filed on 15.04.2026 at Annexure-6, this Court grants liberty to the Petitioner to approach the Appellate Authority with a prayer for early disposal of such appeal. In such eventuality, the Opposite Party No.2- the Appellate Authority subject to the pendency of cases and convenient shall make very endeavour to dispose of the appeal as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date the



Petitioner approaches the Opposite Party No.2. Needless to mention here that the appeal preferred by the Petitioner be disposed of by passing a speaking order and the said order be communicated to the Petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

6. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir