



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

MACA No. 329 of 2024

Rohit Kumar Sahoo ... **Appellant**

Mr. B. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

Nihar Ranjan Sahoo & Another ... **Respondents**

Mr. R.K. Pradhan, Advocate (R-1)

MACA No. 726 of 2024

**The Divisional Manager,
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd.,
Bhubaneswar** ... **Appellant**

Ms. R. Pati, Advocate

-versus-

Rohit Kumar Sahoo and another ... **Respondents**

Mr. B. Mohanty, Advocate (R-1)

CORAM:

JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL)

27.02.2026

**MACA No. 329 of 2024 & I.A. No. 870 of 2024 and
MACA No. 726 of 2024 & I.A. No. 1650 of 2024**

Order No.

05.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. Since these two appeals arise out of one accident and against one impugned order, the same are taken up and heard together and disposed of by one common order.
3. The present Interlocutory Application in I.A. No. 870 of 2024 arising out of MACA No. 329 of 2024 U/S.5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 has been filed by the claimant-injured to condone the delay of 64 days



in preferring the appeal. Similarly, the Interlocutory Application in I.A. No. 1650 of 2024 arising out of MACA No. 726 of 2024 U/S.5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 has been filed by the insurance company to condone the delay of 158 days in preferring the appeal.

4. Heard Mr. Biswajit Mohanty, learned counsel for the claimant, Ms. Rimjhimbala Pati, learned counsel for the Insurance Company and Mr. Rbaindra Kumar Pradhan, learned counsel for R-1 in MACA No. 329 of 2024.

5. For the reasons stated therein in the IA Nos. 870 & 1650 of 2024, the prayer for condonation of delay stands allowed and, accordingly, the delay of 64 days in MACA No. 329 of 2024 and 158 days in MACA No. 726 of 2024 are, hereby, condoned. Hence, the IA Nos.870 & 1650 of 2024 stand disposed of.

6. As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, list this matter on 7th March, 2026 for final hearing of these appeals.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge