



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.13459 of 2026

Srikanta Dhamudia & anr. Petitioners

Mr. P.K. Panda, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & ors. Opposite Parties

Mr.M.K. Dash, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER
04.05.2026

Order No.
01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. As prayed by learned Counsel for the Petitioners, instead of Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Balasore (O.P. No.2), the same should be corrected and read as District Level Project Appraisal-cum-Convergence Committee (DLTACC), represented through the District Collector, AT/P.O./P.S/District Balasore. Similarly, the description of Opposite Party No.4 be corrected and read as Village Level Convergence Committee (VLCC) represented through Sarpanch, Dandaharipur Gram Panchyat, AT/P.O. Dandaharipur, District - Balasore.

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners undertakes to file amended cause title of the writ petition by 06.05.2026 after serving copy thereof on the State.



4. Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioners so also Mr. Dash, learned ASC for the State, who accepts notice on behalf of the Opposite Party Nos.1 to 3 and admits to have received copy of the writ petition.
5. Issue notice to the Opposite Party No.4 by Speed Post with A.D. fixing a short returnable date. Requisites be filed by 06.05.2026.
6. The matter be listed before the regular Bench having assignment in the week commencing from 22nd June, 2026 along with the tracking report of postal department.

(S.K. MISHRA)
JUDGE

I.A. No.7815 of 2026

- 02.**
1. Though a prayer has been made to direct the Opposite Party No.2 not to take any effective action or keep in abeyance the implementation of the said Village Development Plan (VDP) Phase I and Phase II under Annexure-1 series till disposal of the representation dated 13.04.2026, allegedly pending before the Opposite Party No.2, learned State Counsel vehemently opposes to such prayer on the ground that the writ petition so also interim prayer is premature as the representation of the Petitioners is pending for consideration.
 2. Admittedly, the grievance of the Petitioners is non inclusion of some of the projects discussed and



decided in the Palli Sabha.

3. After hearing learned Counsel for the Parties, this Court is not inclined to allow the prayer made in the I.A.

4. However, it is made clear that pendency of the writ petition shall not be a bar for the Opposite Party No.2 to deal with and dispose of the joint representation of the Petitioners and other co-villagers in accordance with law, allegedly pending before him since dated 13.04.2026.

5. Accordingly, I.A. stands disposed of.

6. Urgent certified copy be granted as per Rule.

Banita

(S.K. MISHRA)
JUDGE

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: BANITA PRIYADARSHINI PALEI
Designation: SR. STENOGRAPHER
Reason: AUTHENTICATION
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 05-May-2026 16:04:32

