



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.12895 of 2026

Khirod Kumar Mohanty

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Gyaneswar Satpathy

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.P. Behera, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

30.04.2026

Order No.

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
 3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue a Rule NISI in the nature of Writ of mandamus and/or any other Writ and/or Writs, direction &/or directions, order &/or orders calling upon the opp. Parties to show cause as to why the recommendation (Memorandum) vide Annexure-



2 after verification of all records and as per the direction of the Hon'ble Court will not be worked out within a specific period, if the opp. Parties are failed to show cause &/ or show insufficient or false cause make the said Rule NISI absolute;

And/ or pass any other appropriate order as appear just and proper in the fitness of the case;”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Opposite Party No.1 under Annexure-3. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.1, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.1 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 by passing a speaking and reasoned



order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.1 be also communicated to the Petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout