



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLREV No. 301 of 2026

1. Bimal Chhatar **Petitioners**
2. Nepal Chandan
3. Dusistha Chhatar
4. Sasistha Chhatar
5. Kanistha Chhatar
6. Bana Chhatar
7. Bibasa Chhatar
8. Mahipati Chhatar
9. Biren Chhatar

Mr. P. K. Nayak, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Parties**

Mr. C. R. Swain, AGA
Mr. B. K. Ragada, Advocate
(for informant)

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER
29.04.2026

Order No.

01. 1. Mr. B. K. Ragada, learned counsel and associates have entered appearance on behalf of the informant by filing Vakalatnama. The same is taken on record.
2. Registry is requested to reflect the name of Mr. Ragada, learned counsel and associates in the cause list, brief as well as web portal of this Court.
3. At the outset, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the Informant that the informant has



passed away in the meanwhile, though it is fairly stated not out of the occurrence in question. Hence, the matter is being pursued by the brother of the informant, who is also injured. Accordingly, leave is granted to pursue the same.

4. Heard.
5. Admit.
6. List this matter on 30.06.2026.
7. Registry is requested to call for the soft copy of the TCR in the meanwhile.

(V. Narasingh)
Judge

I.A. No.507 of 2026

Order No.

02. 1. This is an application for stay realization of fine.
2. Heard.
3. Considering the submissions made and the recitals in the I.A, it is directed that the realization of fine imposed by the learned Assistant Sessions Judge, Titlagarh in S.T. Case No 42 of 2019 shall remain stayed till disposal of the Criminal Revision.
4. I.A. is accordingly disposed of.

(V. Narasingh)
Judge



I.A. No.506 of 2026

Order No.

03. 1. The Petitioners by filing this application under Section 430 of BNSS prays for suspension of execution of sentence imposed upon them for the conviction recorded under Section 148/ 294/ 323/ 307/ 506/ 149 of IPC by the learned Assistant Sessions Judge, Titlagarh in S.T. Case No 42 of 2019 and their release on bail pending disposal of this Criminal Revision.
2. Heard.
3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that the Petitioners were through out on bail during trial as well as before the Appellate Court and have never misused the liberty granted. Hence, they may be enlarged on bail.
4. Learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail, inter alia, on the ground that since the presumption of innocence is no longer available to the Petitioners, taking into account the nature of the injury, they ought not to be enlarged on bail.
5. Considering the rival submissions and being cognizant of the docket congestion of this Court, since there is bleak chance of early hearing, this Court directs that on surrendering the Petitioners be released on bail on such terms and conditions as deemed just and proper by the learned Assistant



Sessions Judge, Titlagarh in S.T. Case No 42 of 2019 pending disposal of the Criminal Revision.

6. To instill a sense of confidence in the informant, it is additionally directed that the Petitioners shall appear before the jurisdictional Court once a month for the next three months and thereafter once in six months for the next one year or till the disposal of the revision, whichever is earlier.

Liberty is granted to the informant to approach this Court, if there is any threat perception.

7. I.A is accordingly disposed of.

(V. Narasingh)
Judge