



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.12598 of 2026

Maunabati Behera

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Ranjan Kumar Nayak

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. D.K.Sahoo, A.G.A.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

Order No.

30.04.2026

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the prayer made therein.
 3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

"It is therefore prayed that, this Honourable Court may graciously be pleased:-

- (i) To admit this Writ petition.*
- (ii) To direct the Opposite party No. 2 to make an enquiry about the appointment of " SISU SEBIKA " as per advertisement vide Annexure-1 of the Writ application to do the needful.*
- (iii) To quash the order of O.P. No. 7 as per advertisement vide Annexuro-1 of the writ petition and appoint the petitioner in the said post for the interest of*



justice.

(iv) To direct the Opposite parties more particularly O.P.No.3 and 4 dispose of representation submitted by the petitioner vide Annexure- 5 and 6.

And may pass any other order(s)/direction(s) as deemed fit and proper in the interest of justice.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the District Education Officer, Dhenkanal & Block Education Officer, Bhuban, Opposite Party Nos.3 & 4 respectively under Annexure-5. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party Nos.3 & 4 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party Nos.3 & 4, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party Nos.3 & 4 to consider the representation of the Petitioner dated 06.04.2026 under Annexure-5 within a period of eight weeks from the date



of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party Nos.3 & 4 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party Nos.3 & 4 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi