



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3794 of 2026

Sridhar Nahak *Petitioner(s)*
Mr. Smruti Ranjan Rout, Adv.
-versus-
State of Odisha *Opposite Party(s)*
Miss Gayatri Patra, ASC

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

Order No.

ORDER

01.

06.05.2026

F.I.R. No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
0029	21.02.2026	Sarankul	G.R. Case No.82 of 2026 arising out of Sarankul P.S. Case No.29 dated 21.02.2026 pending before the Court of learned Nyayadhikari-cum-J.M.F.C, Odagaon	Sections 318, 336, 340, 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita read with Section 66(C), 66(D) of the I.T. Act

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioner being in custody in connection with G.R. Case No.82 of 2026 arising out of Sarankul P.S. Case No.29 dated 21.02.2026 pending before the Court of learned Nyayadhikari-cum-J.M.F.C, Odagaon registered for the alleged commission of offences under Sections 318, 336, 340, 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYASKANTA JENA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 08-May-2026 19:18:55





Nyaya Sanhita read with Section 66(C), 66(D) of the I.T. Act, has filed this application for his release on bail.

4. The brief fact of the case is that due to involvement of bank accounts operating within Nayagarh District in cyber fraud a complaint was reported through NCRP. Thereafter, based on the said complaint an F.I.R was lodged in the local Police Station. Upon lodging of the F.I.R and completion of investigation, the Petitioner was taken into custody and has been languishing in custody since 27.02.2026.
5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that the Petitioner is no way connected to the offences alleged against him. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He has never engaged himself in any cybercrime, rather, his account has been utilized for the cybercrime without his knowledge. The Petitioner has been entangled in this case only on suspicion. He, accordingly, prays for permitting the Petitioner to be released on bail.
6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the present Petitioner. She further submits that such type of offences is not tolerable in a law abiding society. She further contends that the present Petitioner has sixteen numbers of criminal

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYASKANTA JENA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 08-May-2026 19:18:55





antecedents. She, accordingly, prays for dismissal of this BLAPL.

7. Considering the submissions made on behalf of both the parties, this Court without going into the merits of the case, directs the court in *seisin* over the matter to release the present Petitioner on bail in the aforesaid case on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:

- i. the Petitioner shall appear before the concerned local Police Station on every alternate Sunday between 10.00A.M. to 12.00P.M. till conclusion of the trial;
- ii. the Petitioner shall appear before the learned Court in *seisin* over the matter on each date of posting of the case;
- iii. the Petitioner shall not indulge himself in any criminal activities in future;
- iv. the Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution witnesses in any manner;
- v. the Petitioner shall not threaten the informant or his family members in any manner;

8. Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.

9. This BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANANTA JENA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 08-May-2026 19:18:55

