



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.12524 of 2025

***Rama Chandra Parhi &
Another***

.....

Petitioners

Represented By Adv. -
M/S M/S. Jagannath
Bhuyan,

-Versus-

State Of Odisha & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented By Adv. -
M/S.Sri B. Bhuyan,
Senior Advocate along
with Sujaia Sahoo

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA

ORDER

10.04.2026

Order No.

12. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard from the learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Senior Counsel for the Opp. Party Nos.6 to 8 and the learned Addl. Standing Counsel for the State.

3. During the course of hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted for withdrawal of this Writ Petition in order to approach the appellate authority challenging the impugned order passed by the Consolidation Officer in Remand Revision Case No.209/2009 as per the OCH & PFL Act, 1972.

4. When the petitioners are interested to withdraw the Writ Petition, then, in view of the principles of law enunciated by the Apex Court in the ratio of the decision between ***Shiv Prasad Vs. Durga Prasad*** reported in ***AIR 1975 Supreme Court 957***, there is no



justification under law to disallow the aforesaid prayer for withdrawal of the Writ Petition submitted on behalf of the petitioners.

5. Hence, on the basis of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn giving liberty to the petitioners for redressal of their grievances approaching the proper authorities as per law and the proper lawful authority shall dispose of the application/petition of the petitioners as per law.

(ANANDA CHANDRA BEHERA)
Judge

Rati Ranjan