



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No. 11699 of 2026

Jyotishree Ray & Ors.

.....

Petitioners

Mr. K.C. Sahu, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

21.04.2026

Order No. 01

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the writ petition be confined to Petitioner No. 1 only and liberty be given to file separate writ petitions in respect of other Petitioners.
3. Heard Mr. K.C. Sahu, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and Mr. S.P. Das, learned Addl. Standing Counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
4. The present writ petition has been filed inter alia with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, prayed that your Lordships may be graciously be pleased to pass following relief(s):-

(i) The Hon'ble Court be pleased to admit & allow the writ petition.

(ii) The Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the Opp. Party no.3 to give promotion to the petitioners to the rank of MPHW(F), L-I against their UR vacancies on the basis of principle decided vide judgment dtd.22.01.2026 passed in W.P.(C) No. 7674/2025 &



batch if necessary by holding review DPC to that effect by further granting all consequential service benefits in favour of the petitioners within a time bound period for the interest of justice.

(iii) The Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass any other Writ(s), Direction(s), Order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may be deemed fit and proper,

And for this act of kindness, the petitioners shall as in duty bound ever pray. ”

5. It is contended that taking into account the judgment passed by this Court on 22.01.2026 in W.P.(C) No. 7674 of 2025 & batch, liberty be given to the Petitioner to move Opp. Party No. 3 for doing the needful as prayed for taking into account the aforesaid judgment.

6. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel has no serious objection to the course of action proposed by the learned counsel for the Petitioner.

7. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties and considering the submission made, this Court while disposing the writ petition, observed that if any such application is moved before Opp. Party No. 3 within a period of two (2) weeks hence, the said Opp. Party shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a further period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt such application.

8. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation and direction.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha