



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3787 of 2026

***Subha @ Jubula @
Subhransu Parida***

....

Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ramesh Chandra Maharana, Adv.

-versus-

State of Orissa

....

Opposite Party(s)

Miss Gayatri Patra, ASC

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

Order No.

01.

ORDER

06.05.2026

F.I.R. No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
0245	17.04.2025	Choudwar	S.T. Case No.46/ 2026 arising out of Choudwar P.S. Case No.245/ 2025 pending before the Court of learned 3 rd Additional Sessions Judge, Cuttack	Sections 109(1), 132, 121(1), 111(2)(b), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita read with Sections 25& 27 of the Arms Act

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioner being in custody in connection with S.T. Case No.46/ 2026 arising out of Choudwar P.S. Case No.245/ 2025 pending before the Court of learned 3rd Additional Sessions Judge, Cuttack registered for the alleged commission of offences under Sections 109(1),

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYASKANTA JENA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 08-May-2026 19:18:55





132, 121(1), 111(2)(b), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita read with Sections 25& 27 of the Arms Act, has filed this application for his release on bail.

4. The brief fact of the case is that on 16.04.2025 at about 10.57 P.M., during course of patrolling duty near Choudwar area, the Police personnel, on getting credible information regarding conspiracy of robbery, rushed to the said spot. On seeing the Police, the accused persons fled from the spot. But, fortunately the Police were able to catch the said accused persons including the present Petitioner. Accordingly, upon lodging of the F.I.R and completion of investigation, the Petitioner was forwarded to jail custody. The Petitioner has been languishing in custody since 17.04.2025.
5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that the Petitioner is no way connected to offences alleged against him. He has been entangled in this case only on suspicion. He has never engaged himself in any kind of robbery. Moreover, the co-accused person has already been enlarged on bail. He, accordingly, prays for permitting the Petitioner to be released on bail.
6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the present Petitioner. She further submits that such type of offences is not tolerable in a

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYASKANTA JENA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 08-May-2026 19:18:55





law abiding society. She, accordingly, prays for dismissal of this BLAPL.

7. Considering the submissions made on behalf of both the parties, this Court without going into the merits of the case, directs the court in *seisin* over the matter to release the present Petitioner on bail in the aforesaid case on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:

- i. the Petitioner shall appear before the concerned local Police Station on every alternate Sunday between 10.00A.M. to 12.00P.M. till conclusion of the trial;
- ii. the Petitioner shall appear before the learned Court in *seisin* over the matter on each date of posting of the case;
- iii. the Petitioner shall not indulge himself in any criminal activities in future;
- iv. the Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution witnesses in any manner;
- v. the Petitioner shall not threaten the informant or his family members in any manner;

8. Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.

9. This BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANANTA JENA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 08-May-2026 19:18:55

