



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 13309 of 2026

Mahendra Behera

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Mahanty, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha & Another

.....

Opp. Parties

Mrs. J. Sahoo, ASC

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER

02.05.2026

Order No.

1.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned Mr. S.K. Mahanty, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mrs. J. Sahoo, learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties
3. The Petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:-

"It is therefore, humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit the Writ Petition, after hearing both the parties be pleased to issue direction to the Tahasildar, Khallikote for correction of Caste particulars of the Petitioner considering their grievance vide Annexure-4 within a stipulated time;.

And pass any other order/orders as would be deemed fit and proper;

And for which act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. It is submitted that in the Sabik-ROR the Caste of the Petitioner was correctly mentioned but in the settlement ROR it was inadvertently mentioned wrongly. Since it is a typographical error the Tahasildar has the power to make necessary correction.
5. Learned State Counsel submits that the final ROR having



been published the proper remedy for the petitioner is to approach the revisional Court.

6. Whether mentioning of the caste is because of an inadvertent error is a matter to be considered by the Tahasildar. Since a representation is said to be pending in this regard which has not yet been considered, the writ application is disposed of directing the Tahasildar, Khalikote to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner as early as possible.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge

Ranjeeta