



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.11482 of 2026

S. Devadas

....

Petitioner

Mr. L. Mohanty, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

Mr. S.K. Patra, Adv.

(for Opp. Party No.4)

COROM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

20.04.2026

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue notice to the opp.parties calling upon them to file show cause as to why:

(i) a direction shall not be issued to release pension and pensionary benefits in favour of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.12.2012 by counting the period of service rendered in Work Charged Establishment under opp.party no.3 from 30.11.1981 to 30.11.2012 taking into consideration the order passed by Hon'ble Apex Court of India in between State of Orissa and others Versus G. Balakrishna and others in SLP (Civil) Diary No.3340 of 2023 dated 0-24.07.2025 under Annexure -4 and the consequential order passed by the opp.party no.1 dated 09.02.2025 under



Annexure-6 as well as judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court of India rendered in between D.S. Nakra Versus Union of India and others reported in AIR 1983 SC 130.

(ii) And after hearing the parties be pleased to direct the opp.parties to release pension and pensionary benefits in favour of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.12.2012 by counting the period of service under Work Charged Establishment from 30.11.1981 to 30.11.2012: within a date to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

And pass such other order/orders granting complete relief to the petitioner.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray. ”

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5 in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge