



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3741 of 2026

Md. Faiyaz Raza

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. Pratik Nayak,
Advocate*

-Versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. Sonak Mishra, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

05.05.2025

Order No.

01.

F.I.R No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
100	16.02.2026	Rajgangpur	G.R Case No.182 of 2026 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rajgangpur	U/S. 317(2)/318(2)/323/111(4)/111(3)/3(5) of BNS read with Section-66(C) and 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2000.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. The petitioner being in custody in connection with Rajgangpur P.S. Case No.100 of 2026, corresponding to G.R Case No.182 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: GITANJALI NAYAK
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 08-May-2026 12:45:14





Class, Rajgangpur registered for the alleged commission of offence under Section 317(2)/318(2)/323/111(4)/111(3)/3(5) of BNS read with Section-66(C) and 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2000, has filed this petition for his release on bail.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that this Petitioner being arrested in the case is in custody since 17.02.2026. The Petitioner is not the principal offender and he under bona fide belief had opened the bank account on the request of the co-accused. He further submits that the investigation of the case is mainly dependent on documentary evidence. He has no criminal antecedent to his credit. In view of all these above, when the Petitioner is a permanent resident of District Sundargarh as also the question of tampering with the evidence does not arise, he urges for consideration of prayer for grant of bail to the Petitioner

4. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that there is sufficient material available against the petitioner for his involvement in this case. Such type of offence is a threat to the society and if the petitioner will be released from custody, there is chance of absconding and fleeing away from the clutches of law. Accordingly, he prays for rejection of the bail petition.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: GITANJALI NAYAK
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 08-May-2026 12:45:14





5. Considering the facts and keeping in view the submission of learned counsel for the Petitioner; further keeping in view the materials on record as those stand against the Petitioner with other surrounding circumstances, this Court is of the view that there is no requirement of keeping the Petitioner inside the custody any further. Accordingly, this Court directs that the Petitioner be released on bail by the Court *in seisin* over the matter on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:

- i. the petitioner shall appear before the local Police Station on 1st Monday of every month between 10 A.M. to 1.00 P.M. till the conclusion of the trial;
- ii. the Petitioner shall not indulge himself in any criminal activities in future;
- iii. the Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution evidence in any manner;

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.

6. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Gitanjali

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: GITANJALI NAYAK
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 08-May-2026 12:45:14

