



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No. 3583 of 2026

Sonam Dash

Petitioner

....

Mr. J.K. Panda, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. M.R. Mohanty, AGA

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

08.05.2026

Order No.

- 02.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioner is accused in connection with G.R. Case No.3253 of 2025 pending on the file of learned S.D.J.M., Berhampur arising out of **Cyber P.S. Case No.93 of 2025** for commission of offence alleged under Sections 318(2)/ 336(2)/ 340/ 61(2)/ 111/ 3(5) of BNS read with Section 65/72 of IT Act.
3. Learned counsel, on instruction, submits that except the present BLAPL, no other bail application of the Petitioner relating to the aforementioned P.S. case is pending in any other Court.
4. Being aggrieved by the rejection of his application for bail U/s.483 of the BNSS by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge



(Vigilance), Berhampur by order dated 08.04.2026 in the aforementioned case, the present BLAPL has been filed.

5. The case of the prosecution in short is that the Informant who is the IIC CC & EO PS, Berhampur lodged a report to the effect that on 24.11.2025 he received a letter No.166/CID-M&C dated 31.10.2025 from Superintendent of Police, CID, CB, Odisha Cuttack to the effect that the Petitioner and others were opened 178 numbers mule accounts which are used by the Petitioner and others to facilitate money laundering or hide illegally obtained funds through cybercrime. Basing on such report, the aforementioned PS case was registered.

6. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

7. Taking into account the nature of allegations and since the consideration by the learned Trial Court was admittedly prior to the filing of the charge sheet, this Court is **not inclined** to entertain this application without having the advantage of consideration of material qua the accusation vis-à-vis the Petitioner being considered by the learned Trial Court.

8. Liberty is granted to the Petitioner to renew his prayer before the learned Trial Court.



It shall be open for the Petitioner to put forth the ground of parity on account of release of the co-accused by this Court.

9. If such an application is made, the same shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the earlier order of rejection or the order of non-entertainment of this BLAPL.

10. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. U.C.C as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Ayesha

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYESHA ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 09-May-2026 15:00:41

