



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.11763 of 2026

Jayanta Kumar Mahanta

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Satyajit Samal

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Sasmita Nayak, A.S.C.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA

ORDER
29.04.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as documents annexed thereto.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

“The petitioner therefore humbly prays that the Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit this case, issue notice to opposite parties for show cause and after hearing both the sides may be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus/Certiorari by directing the opposite parties particularly to Opposite Party No. 1 to 3 to take immediate steps to disburse the differential arrear salary as well as the current monthly salary in trained graduate Scale of Pay from the date of attaining the age of 48 years i.e. from 16.06.2021 on the basis of the Orissa Education Rules, 1974, S.M.E. Department



Resolution dated. 18.02.2008 and Letter No.6259 dt. 16.04.2010 as well as the Letter No. 1772 dt.11.01.2011 of the Director of Secondary Education, Odisha and as well as order passed in the case of Radharani Samal-Vs.- State and Others, reported in (2017) (1) ILR - CUT-546 with cost.

And

Further be pleased to direct the Opposite Party No. 3 to finalize the grievance of the petitioner through Headmaster vide Annexure - 5 within a stipulated period.”

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.3 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil