



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 11284 OF 2026

Umakanta Dhal

....

Petitioner

Mr. Subrata Panda, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Bibekananda Nayak,
Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

22.06.2026

Order No.

1. 1. These matters are taken up through hybrid mode.
2. 2. The Petitioner in this writ petition prays for the following relief:

“In view of the facts and circumstances narrated above, it is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to considered this application and pleased to issue writ of mandamus or any other writ/ writs in favour of the petitioners to approached for direction to the authority/ opposite party No.3 for considering this application for delay in approach to considered before the authority for higher compensation in L.A. Case No.06 of 2016 of Under Section 64 of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 for your kind and consideration to approached the Hon’ble Court and pass any order/ orders as deem fit and proper may kindly be passed for the interest of the justice.

And for which act of your kindness, the petitioners shall remain ever pray.”



3. On perusal of the record, it appears that the Petitioner has approached this Court earlier in W.P.(C) No.30697 of 2024, which was disposed of on 11th December, 2024 with a direction to consider the representation of the Petitioner. Accordingly, the representation of the Petitioner was considered by the Collector and District Magistrate, Mayurbhanj and the same was rejected on merit vide order dated 10th April, 2025 (Annexure-5). Subsequently, the Petitioner approached this Court in W.P.(C) No.16178 of 2025, which was disposed of as withdrawn vide order dated 1st July, 2025 without interfering with the order passed under Annexure-5. However, the Petitioner again moved the Zone Officer, L.A., S.I.P., Laxmiposi, who dismissed the claim of the Petitioner vide order dated 18th August, 2025. The Petitioner has not challenged the said order in the present writ petition.

4. However, in course of hearing, Mr. Panda, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(S.K. Mishra)
Judge

ms