



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C ) No.11506 of 2020**

**CNR No. ODHCO10249712020**

**Pradip Kumar DasA**

....

**Petitioner**

*Mr. M.K. Khuntia, Adv.*

*-versus-*

**Chief Executive Officer,  
TPCODL & Others**

....

**Opposite Parties**

*Mr. B. Dash, Adv.*

*(for O.P. Nos.1 to3)*

**CORAM:**

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER**

**Order No.**

**05.08.2026**

- 4.**     **1.**     This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.**     On the oral prayer made by the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner, he is permitted to correct the description of Opp. Parties as TPCODL in place of CESU in Court.
- 3.**     Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties
- 4.**     Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

*It is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the case, call for the records and after hearing both the parties pass the following reliefs:*

*(i) To quash the notification dtd.03.02.2010 under Annexure in so far as it giving effect from 01.01.2005.*



*(ii) To direct the opposite parties implement the notification dtd.03.02.2010 from the date it is notified i.e. 03.02.2010.*

*(iii) To direct the Opp. Parties to extend the benefits old pension scheme to Petitioner instead of new restructured pension scheme of 2005.*

*(iv) And pass such other order/orders, direction/directions as deem fit and proper*

*And for which kind act the Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.*

**5.** However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a fresh representation before Opp. Party No.1 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three (3) weeks hence.

**6.** It is observed that if any such representation is filed within the aforesaid time period, Opp. Party No. 1 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by Opp. Party No. 1 be communicated to the Petitioner.

**7.** Since it is contended that claim of the Petitioner is covered by the order passed by this Court in the case of **Anand Dash Vs. State of Orissa and Others**, relevancy and effect of the said order be taken into consideration



while considering the claim of the Petitioner, so directed. Petitioner is permitted to provide a copy of the judgment in the case of **Anand Dash** along with the representation before Opp. Party No.1 for compliance.

**8.** With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

**(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)**  
**Judge**

sangita