



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No. 3466 of 2026

*Harbindar Syce @ Harbindar Sahis @
Chikna*

..... *Petitioner(s)*
Sk. Zafrulla, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha

..... *Opposite Party(s)*
Mr. Tej Kumar, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

05.05.2026

Order No.

01.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
41	05.02.2026	Orient	C.T. Case No.135 of 2026 pending in the court of learned J.M.F.C.-1 (Cog. Taking), Jharsuguda	Sections 331(4)/305(a) of BNS, 2023



2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The Petitioner being in custody in Orient P.S. Case No. 41 of 2026, corresponding to C.T. Case No.135 of 2026 pending in the court of learned J.M.F.C.-1 (Cog. Taking), Jharsuguda , registered for the alleged commission of offences under Sections 331(4)/305(a) of BNS, 2023, has filed this petition for his release on bail.
4. The brief fact of the case is that the informant named Pradeep Das lodged a written report before the Orient P.S. alleging that on 02.02.2026 his friend named Padman Charan Nahak went to Rameswaram and he was the care take of his quarter. On 05.02.2026 at about 06 AM when the informant went to the quarter he found that the door of the quarter was broken. Some unknown culprits committed theft from the said quarter. The stolen articles are one UV TV with remote, worship materials, Mobile stand and one silver coin. Based on the report of the informant, Orient P.S. Case No.41 of 2026 was registered. Hence, this case.
5. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, and there is no credible or incriminating material on record that establishes his involvement in the alleged offences. The





petitioner has been in judicial custody since 07.02.2026. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on bail, as his continued incarceration is unwarranted and disproportionate in the absence of any substantial evidence against him.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail, emphasizing the seriousness of the allegations.
7. Without going into the merit of the case and based on the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case with some stringent terms and conditions as deemed just and proper by the learned court in *seisin* over the matter with further conditions that:-
 - i. The Petitioner shall appear before the local Police Station on every alternate Sunday between 10.00 A.M. to 12.00 P.M. till conclusion of the trial.;
 - ii. The Petitioner shall appear before the learned court in *seisin* over the matter on each date of posting of the case;
 - iii. The Petitioner shall not indulge himself in any criminal offence while on bail.
 - iv. The Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution evidence in any manner.
8. Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.



9. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Murmu

Signature Not Verified



Digitally Signed
Signed by: LITARAM MURMU
Designation: P.A.
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 08-May-2026 19:36:14

Page 4 of 4