



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No. 10591 of 2026

Motilal Pattanaik

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.G. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

09.04.2026

Order No. 01

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

“The petitioner, therefore, prays that this Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to issue Rule Nisi calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why:-

(i) A writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/writs shall not be issued directing the Opp. parties to allow the petitioner to complete his training at PTC, Anugul, in the best interest of justice

(ii) If the Opp. Parties fail to show cause or show insufficient cause the said Rule be made absolute;

(iii) And/or pass any other order(s)/Direction(s) as this Hon'ble Court thinks fit and proper;



And for this act of kindness, the petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.”

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a fresh representation before Opp. Party No.2 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three (3) weeks hence.

5. It is observed that if such representation is filed within the aforesaid time period, Opp. Party No. 2 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by Opp. Party No. 2 be communicated to the Petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Jyoti