



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3203 of 2026

***Mangu @ Mangaraj
Palai***

....

Petitioner

Mr. Sk. Zafarulla, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. P.K. Ray, AGA

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

04.05.2026

Order No.

01. 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioner is an accused in connection with G.R Case No. 108 of 2026, pending on the file of learned J.M.F.C., Konark, arising out of **Gop P.S. Case No. 45 of 2026** for alleged commission of offences under Sections 296/ 115(2)/ 118(1)/ 109(1)/ 118(2)/ 351(2)/ 351(3)/ 3(5) of BNS read with Section 3(a)/4(a)(b) of Explosive Substances Act.
3. Learned counsel, on instruction, submits that except the present BLAPL, no other bail application of the Petitioner relating to the aforementioned P.S. case is pending in any other Court.
4. Being aggrieved by the rejection of his application for bail U/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik



Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Nimapara by order dated 17.03.2026 in the aforementioned case, the present BLAPL has been filed.

5. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that 06.02.2026 at about 4 P.M, informant lodged a written report that, twelve named accused persons along with petitioner came to her house and started abusing in obscene language and also threatened to kill her. When the family members and other family member went to the police station for lodging the FIR, the accused persons having come to know about the same, again came to the house of the informant with deadly weapons and abused her in obscene language and also assaulted her as well her family members. It is also alleged that, when the informant and her family members tried to escape from their house, the accused persons threw bomb on the house of the informant, as a result of which the husband of the sister-in-law of the informant sustained injuries and thereafter he was shifted to Puri hospital for treatment. Basing on such report, the aforementioned PS case was registered.

6. It is submitted by the learned counsel that the civil dispute inter se between the parties is the genesis of commission of the offence for which the FIR in question was instituted and it is stated that the



investigation has progressed substantially and taking into account the age of the Petitioner, he may be released on bail.

7. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that ex-facie exaggerated allegations have been made which is fortified from the injury report in which the injuries are abrasion and have been categorized as simple.

8. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

9. Taking into account the nature of allegations and the age of the Petitioner (20 years), this Court directs the Petitioner to be released on bail on such terms to be fixed by the learned Court in seisin subject to verification of criminal antecedent of similar nature.

10. If it comes to the fore that the Petitioner has any such criminal antecedent, this order shall not be given effect to.

11. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of.

12. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

(V. Narasingh)
Judge