



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3215 of 2026

Udit Kumar Padhi

....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Maharana, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. A.K. Pati, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

04.05.2026

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioner is an accused in connection with G.R. Case No.87 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Buguda, Bhanjanagar, arising out of Buguda P.S. Case No.92 of 2026, for commission of alleged offences U/s 296/ 115(2)/ 351(2)/ 74/ 75(3)/ 76/ 77/ 78 of BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel, on instruction, submits that except the present BLAPL, no other bail application of the Petitioner relating to the aforementioned P.S. Case is pending in any other Court.



4. Being aggrieved by the rejection of his application for bail U/s. 483 of the BNSS by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Bhanjanagar by order dated 17.03.2026, the present BLAPL has been filed.

5. The allegation against the Petitioner is that he had a mobile repair shop and when the informant brought her mobile phone to him for repair, he downloaded the pictures of the informant after morphing them, circulated in an objectionable state.

6. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that he is in custody since 03.03.2026 and as the investigation has already been concluded, he may be enlarged on bail.

7. It is brought to the notice of this Court that in the meanwhile, charge sheet has been filed on 26.04.2026, under Sections 296/ 115(2)/ 74/ 75(3)/ 67/ 336(4)/ 308(3)/ 351(3)/ 64(2)(m)/ 78(2)/ 79/ 331(3) of BNS. Referring to the same, learned counsel for the State opposes such prayer.

8. Considering the filing of the charge sheet and the Petitioner does not have any criminal proclivity, this Court directs the Petitioner to be released on bail on such terms to be fixed by the learned Court in seisin.

9. It is directed that the Petitioner shall not in any way try to intimidate the informant and her family.



10. It shall be open for the informant/prosecution to seek variance of this order in the event there is any threat perception.

11. Additionally, it is directed that the Petitioner shall appear before the jurisdictional police station once every fifteen days on such date and time to be fixed by the learned Court in seisin for the next three months and thereafter once every month till conclusion of trial. Certification of such appearance shall be submitted to the Court in seisin. Any violation shall entail cancellation and liberty is granted to the informant to seek variance of this order.

12. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of U.C.C as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Soumya