



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No. 4022 of 2026

***Bikash Nayak @ Banti
Behera***

....

Petitioner

Mr. P. Naik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. P.K. Ray, AGA

ABLAPL No. 4060 of 2026

Muna Nayak

....

Petitioner

Mr. P. Naik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. P.K Ray, AGA

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

30.04.2026

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Since both the matters arise out of same F.I.R, they are heard together and disposed of by this common order on the consent of the parties.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with C.T. Case No. 614 of 2026 pending on the file of learned SDJM, Bhubaneswar, arising out of Capital P.S. Case No.192 of 2026 for commission



of offences punishable under Sections 126(2)/ 115(2)/ 296/ 351(2)/ 303(2) and 3(5) of BNS.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that the incident happened without any premeditation and that the injuries suffered by the injured are simple. Hence, the Petitioners may be enlarged on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail referring to the seat of the injury (head) on the scalp, though the same has been categorized as simple.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, it is directed that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioners shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper subject to verification of criminal antecedent.

7. If it comes to the fore that the Petitioners have any criminal antecedent, this order shall not be given effect to.

8. It is needless to state that the Petitioners shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

9. The ABLAPs are accordingly disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge