



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.11839 of 2026

Santosh Kumar Dora & Anr.

.....

Petitioners

Represented by Adv. -
Kunal Kumar Swain

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. C.M.Singh, A.S.C.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

Order No.

22.04.2026

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the prayer made therein.
 3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

*“Under the above circumstances, it is humbly
prayed that the writ petition may be allowed;*

And

*(A) a writ of mandamus or an appropriate writ may be
issued commanding the opposite parties more
particularly to the opposite party No.1 and 2 to modify
the approval order No.8337 dated 25.05.2009 issued by
the then Inspector of Schools, Khurda Circle, Khurda
under Annexure:7 by antedating release of Block Grant
in favour of the petitioners with effect from 01.01.2004*



instead of 01.04.2008 like other members of the staff of the said School and the differential arrears may be calculated and may be paid to the petitioners, within a time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court;

(B) And any other order / orders or direction / directions may be issued so as to give complete relief to the petitioners."

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the State of Odisha, represented through its Commissioner-cum-Secretary to Government in School and Mass Education Department, At: Secretariate Building, Bhubaneswar, Dist: Khordha, Opposite Party No.1 under Annexure-8. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-8 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.1, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner dated 28.10.2025 under Annexure-8 within a period of eight weeks from the date



of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.1 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-8 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.1 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi