



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No. 3119 of 2026

Bhajaram Baliarsingh & Anr.

..... *Petitioner(s)*

Mr. Amit Kumar Sahu, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha

..... *Opposite Party(s)*

Ms. Gayatri Patra, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

04.05.2026

Order No.

01.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
14	13.03.2026	Rayagada GRPS	T.R. No.08 of 2026 pending in the court of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Rayagada	Sections 20(b)(ii)(B)/29 of NDPS Act

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



3. The Petitioners being in custody in Rayagada GRPS P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026, corresponding to T.R. No.08 of 2026 pending in the court of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Rayagada , registered for the alleged commission of offences under Sections 20(b)(ii)(B)/29of NDPS Act, have filed this petition for his release on bail.
4. The brief fact of the case is that on the strength of the F.I.R. lodged by one Santosh Kumar Parida, Sub-Inspector of Police, Rayagada GRPS who has alleged in his FIR dated 13.03.2026 that on that day, while he along with other staffs were conducting patrolling at Rayagada Railway Station, he witnessed the instant accused persons moving suspiciously with two pithu bags and one luggage bag at platform no.5 towards Singapur road end. It is further alleged that on suspicion, the accused persons were detained and after observing all legal formalities, on search of the two pithu bags and one luggage bag of the accused persons, ganja weighing to 15 Kg 665 gm was recovered and seized from the exclusive and conscious possession of the accused persons against which they have failed to give any reasonable explanation. Hence, this case.



5. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case and has no connection whatsoever with the alleged offences as stated by the prosecution. He further submits that nothing has been seized from their exclusive and conscious possession. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 14.03.2026. Hence, he submits that the petitioners may be released on bail.
6. Learned counsel for the State submits that the seized contraband ganja i.e. 15Kg 665 gm was recovered from the exclusive and conscious possession of the Petitioners. Hence, he vehemently opposes the prayer for bail.
7. Without going into the merit of the case and based on the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the Petitioners be released on bail in the aforesaid case with some stringent terms and conditions as deemed just and proper by the learned court in *seisin* over the matter with further conditions that:-
 - i. The Petitioners shall appear before the local Police Station on every alternate Sunday between 10.00 A.M. to 12.00 P.M. till conclusion of the trial.;



- ii. The Petitioners shall appear before the learned court in *seisin* over the matter on each date of posting of the case;
 - iii. The Petitioners shall not indulge themselves in any criminal offence while on bail.
 - iv. The Petitioners shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution evidence in any manner.
8. Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.
9. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(*Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi*)
Judge