



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No. 3198 of 2026

Bikash Sahoo

....

Petitioner

Mr. S.S. Das, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha

....

Opposite Parties

2. Informant

Mr. P.K. Ray, AGA

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

04.05.2026

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The petitioner is an accused in connection with Special (POCSO) Case No.09 of 2026 pending on the file of the learned Additional Sessions-cum-Special Judge, Angul, arising out of **Chhendipada P.S. Case No.34 of 2026** for commission of the alleged offence under Sections 64(2)(m)/65(1) of BNS read with Section 6 of the POCSO Act.
3. Learned counsel, on instruction, submits that except the present BLAPL, no other bail application of the Petitioner relating to the aforementioned P.S. Case is pending in any other Court.
4. Being aggrieved by the rejection of his application for bail U/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) by the learned A.D.J.-cum-



Special Court, under POCSO Act, Angul by order dated 24.02.2026 in the aforementioned case, the present BLAPL has been filed.

5. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that on 23.01.2026, Informant lodged a report alleging therein that almost five months back during annual celebratory function of the village Deity, the petitioner had love affairs with her and with a promise to marry, kept physical relationship. He even took her to a hotel at Angul, where he had physical relationship with her in consequence of which she become pregnant. It is further alleged that when the petitioner denied marrying her, on being proposed by her, she reported the said incident before the concerned Police Station. Basing on such report, the aforementioned PS Case was registered.

6. Perused the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS.

7. The prayer for bail of the Petitioner was considered by the learned Trial Court on 24.02.2026, evidently prior to the filing of the charge sheet which is stated to have been submitted on 22.03.2026.

8. Considering the nature of allegations, this Court does not feel it prudent to entertain this application without having advantage of consideration of material qua the accusation vis-à-vis the Petitioner



being considered by the learned Trial Court post charge sheet.

9. If such application is filed, the same shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the earlier rejection.

10. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Ayesha

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYESHA ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 05-May-2026 18:48:55

