



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**WP(C) No.9907 of 2026**

*Sagarika Dwari*

.....

*Petitioner*

Represented by Adv. -  
Shashi Bhusan Biswal

*-versus-*

*State of Odisha & Ors.*

.....

*Opposite Parties*

Represented by Adv. –  
S.Behera, A.G.A.

**CORAM:**  
**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR**  
**MOHAPATRA**

**ORDER**  
**31.03.2026**

**Order No.**

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the State- Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

*“Under the above circumstances, it is humbly  
prayed that the writ petition may be allowed;*

*And*

*(A) a writ of Mandamus or an appropriate writ may be  
issued directing the opposite parties to treat / count  
the appointment of the petitioner from 2012 on  
notional basis and she may be treated as a regular*



*Physical Education Teacher instead of Contract Teacher (PET) with effect from 22.01.2026 and she may also be allowed to avail I.A.C.P.Ed. Scale of Pay with all consequential service and financial benefits by modifying the order dated 22.01.2026 passed by the District Education Officer, Mayurbhanj under Annexure:7 by treating the petitioner as a regular Physical Education Teacher instead of Contract Teacher (PET), within a time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.*

*(B) And any other order / orders or direction / directions may be issued so as to give complete relief to the petitioner.”*

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the District Education Officer, Mayurbhanj, Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-9. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-9 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.3, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation



of the Petitioner under Annexure-9 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-9 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.3 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

**( A.K. Mohapatra )**  
**Judge**

Anil