



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CMAPL No.115 of 2025

Nrusingha Prasad Sundaray
and others

.....

Petitioners

Represented by Adv. –

M/s S.K.Samantaray,
S.C.Tripathy, N.Sahoo,
M.Das, T.Sahoo

-versus-

Kaikei Sundara @ Baidehi and
others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -

M/s S.K.Mishra,
Wayamsidha Mishra

(For O.P. No.19(b))

M/s. Tanmay Mishra, T.
Sahoo, S. Pati

(For O.P. Nos.8(a) & 8(b))

Mr. Ranjan Kumar Rout,
Advocate for O.P. No.4

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
09.02.2026

Order No.

05. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Learned counsel for the Petitioners files an objection filed by the Opposite Party No.4, which was served on him on 26.06.2026.
3. Such objection is not on record.



4. Office is directed to verify the same and in the event the same is available, such objection be brought on record.
5. On perusal of the record, it appears that notice in CMAPL application has been served to all the Opposite Parties except Opposite Parties No.5, 6, 2, 16 and 20. It appears that such Opposite Parties are dead much prior to the order dismissing the Second Appeal in default was passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court. Despite valid service of notice, only counsel appearing for the Opposite Parties No.8(a) & 8(b) and 19(b) as well as learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.4 appeared before this Court and sought for some time to file objection.
6. Considering such submissions, learned counsels for the Opposite Party No.8(a) & 8(b) and 19(b) and 4 are granted a week time to file objection in this case after serving a copy thereof on the learned counsel for the Petitioners.
7. List this matter on 17th February, 2026 for orders.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis