



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3078 of 2026

Pinky Biswas @ Mandal *Petitioner(s)*
Mr. Hari Krushna Panigrahi, Adv.
-versus-
State of Odisha & Anr. *Opposite Party(s)*
Mr. Tej Kumar, ASC

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

Order No.

ORDER

01.

04.05.2026

F.I.R. No.	Dated	Police Station	Case No. and Courts' Name	Sections
376	00.00.2024	Umerkote	Umerkote P.S. Case No.376/2024 dated 20.10.2024 corresponding to T.R Case No.53 of 2024 pending before the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Court under the POCSO Act, Nabarangpur	Sections 66(C)/66(E)/67/67(C) of the I.T Act and Section 12 of the POCSO Act

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioner being in custody in connection with Umerkote P.S. Case No.376/2024 dated 20.10.2024 corresponding to T.R Case No.53 of 2024 pending before the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-



cum-Special Court under the POCSO Act, Nabarangpur registered for the alleged commission of offences under Sections 66(C)/66(E)/67/67(C) of the I.T Act and Section 12 of the POCSO Act, has filed this application for her release on bail.

4. The brief fact of the case is that based on the allegation of making circulation of some nude photographs of the minor daughter of the informant in social media the Petitioner has been booked for the above noted offences. The Petitioner had got divorce from the younger brother of the informant. It is alleged in the written complaint lodged against the present Petitioner that the Petitioner had used the said nude photographs for prostitution purpose. Be that as it may, upon lodging of the F.I.R and completion of investigation, the Petitioner was taken into custody. The Petitioner has been languishing in custody since 17.03.2026.
5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner contends that the Petitioner has not circulated the nude photographs of the minor daughter of the informant. No such evidence is also there to show that the Petitioner has circulated the said nude photographs in the social media. He further contends that since the Petitioner is a six months pregnant lady, keeping her inside custody for a long period may hamper her health condition including the



condition of the fetus in her womb. He has been entangled in this case due to previous enmity. He, accordingly, prays for permitting the Petitioner to be released on bail.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the present Petitioner. He further submits that such type of offences is not tolerable in a law abiding society. He, accordingly, prays for dismissal of this BLAPL.
7. Considering the submissions made on behalf of both the parties, this Court without going into the merits of the case, directs the court in *seisin* over the matter to release the present Petitioner on bail in the aforesaid case on some stringent terms and conditions with further conditions that:
 - i. the Petitioner shall appear before the concerned local Police Station once in a month between 10.00A.M. to 12.00P.M. till conclusion of the trial;
 - ii. the Petitioner shall appear before the learned Court in *seisin* over the matter on each date of posting of the case;
 - iii. the Petitioner shall not indulge herself in any criminal activities in future;
 - iv. the Petitioner shall not tamper the evidence of the prosecution witnesses in any manner;



8. Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.
9. This BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Ayaskanta