



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) NO.10067 of 2026

Bhagaban Malik

....

Petitioner

Mr. G. B. Singh, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

*Mr. L.K. Maharana, Adv.
(for O.P. Nos.2 to 4)*

COROM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

08.05.2026

Order No

- 5.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. On the oral prayer made by the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner, he is permitted to move Collector, Cuttack as Opp. Party No.5 in Court.
3. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties
4. The present Writ Petition has been filed inter alia with the following prayer:

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, it is respectfully prayed that your Lordships would graciously be pleased to issue Rule NISL Calling upon the Opp Parties to show cause as to why the domestic electricity connection to the petitioner's house as per the DERC Distribution (Condition of Supply) Code-2019 and if the Opp Parties fail to show cause or show insufficient cause, then the rule be made absolute and direct to the opp. Party No. 3 ie



Junior Manager, Electrical, TPCODLI, Orikanta Electrical Section, under Salipur Electrical Division, Dist- Cuttack to connect the domestic electric line to the petitioner's house within a stipulated time for the ends of justice.

Any other relief /reliefs be granted as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner is in duty bound shall ever pray.

5. It is contended that on the face of the application made by the Petitioner under Annexure-2, since power supply was not provided to the Petitioner's premises, the present Writ Petition was filed seeking a direction on Opp. party Nos.2 to provide such power supply.

6. Basing on instruction, learned counsel appearing for the TPCODL contended that in order to provide power supply to the Petitioner's premises so applied for, Extension of 10 spans of L.T. Line is required to be done and for that purpose, a sum of Rs.80,000/- (Eighty thousand only)is required to be deposited by the Petitioner. It is accordingly contended that since such an amount has not yet been deposited, Opp. Party No.2 is not in a position to provide power supply to the Petitioner's premises and the application made by the Petitioner has already been rejected on 14.11.2025.

6.1 Mr. L.K. Maharana, learned counsel appearing for the Opp. party Nos.2 to 4 however fairly contended that in order to get the benefit of power supply to his premises, Petitioner can make an application before



Opp. party No.5, under the Scheme, “Biju Grama Jyoti Yojana” and in terms of the said Scheme, the appropriate authority can consider the claim of the Petitioner to get the power supply. It is contended that if Petitioner makes an appeal before Opp. party No.5 to get the benefit of power supply under the aforesaid Scheme, Opp. party No.5 can take a decision on the issue.

6.2. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner also fairly contended that Petitioner is ready and willing to move an application before Opp. party No.5 under the aforesaid Scheme to get the benefit of power supply.

7. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and considering the submission made, this Court while disposing the Writ Petition permits the Petitioner to move an appropriate application before Opp. party No.5 under the aforesaid Biju Gram Jyoti Yojana to get the benefit of power supply.

7.1. It is observed that if any such application will be moved before Opp. party No.5 within a period of 2(two) weeks hence, the said authority shall take a lawful decision on the same, in terms of the guideline prescribed by the Government in the Energy Department vide its Office Memorandum dt.26.09.2007, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of



two (2) months from the date of receipt of such application.

7.2. It is however observed that if required, Opp. Party No.5 can take the assistance of Opp. party No.2 to come to a just conclusion.

8. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

sangita

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANGITA PATRA
Reason: authentication of order
Location: high court of orissa, cuttack
Date: 22-May-2026 18:59:07

