



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3231 of 2026

Krushna Chandra Bisoyi* *Petitioner(s)

Mr. Lambodar Achari, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha* *Opposite Party(s)

Mr. Jateswar Naik, AGA

CORAM: JUSTICE SIBO SANKAR MISHRA

**Order
No.**

**ORDER
04.05.2026**

01.

1. Heard.

2. The petitioner is an accused in connection with Buguda P.S. Case No.457 of 2023 corresponding to S.T. Case No.124 of 2025 registered on the allegation of the commission of the offences under Sections 498-A/304-B/306/34 of I.P.C. read with Section 4 of the D.P. Act pending in the Court of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhanjanagar, Ganjam.

3. The petitioner had approached the learned Court below praying for grant of bail. The learned Court below vide its order dated 13.03.2026 has rejected the



bail application of the petitioner. Being aggrieved, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 praying for enlargement on bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction from the petitioner, submits that, except the present bail application, no other bail application of the petitioner is pending in any other Court relating to the aforesaid F.I.R.

5. The prosecution allegation is that on 27.06.2023 at about 5:00 P.M., the informant appeared before Buguda Police Station and submitted a written report stating that his daughter, Arati, had married the present accused, Krushna Chandra Bisoyi, on 24.05.2023. At the time of marriage, as per the demand of the bridegroom's side, the informant had given two gold rings, one gold chain, cash of Rs.1,50,000/-, and other household articles to the present accused. It is further alleged that after the marriage, the present accused and the in-laws of the informant's daughter demanded an additional sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and a motorcycle from Arati, who subsequently informed her



father about such demands. Thereafter, with considerable difficulty, the informant managed to pay Rs.1,00,000/- to the present accused. However, as he was unable to pay the remaining Rs.1,00,000/- and provide a motorcycle, the present accused and the in-laws allegedly subjected Arati to physical assault and threatened to kill her. The prosecution further alleges that on the night of 25.06.2023, the accused persons administered poison to Arati and her minor daughter, aged about two years, resulting in their death. On the following morning, i.e., on 26.06.2023, the informant went to Bhanjanagar Medical, where he found both his daughter and granddaughter lying dead.

6. Earlier the petitioner had approached this Court twice. On 20.08.2025, his bail application BLAPL No.7843 of 2025 was turned down by the coordinate Bench of this Court. However, on 11.12.2025, he withdrew the second bail application being BLAPL No.11882 of 2025 with a liberty to renew the bail plea after the material witnesses are examined.



7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that that the materials witnesses have already been examined. He drawn the attention of this Court to the evidence in particular to paragraph Nos.8, 9 and 10 of the father of the deceased, examined as P.W.8. On the basis of the said testimony, it is contended that that it is an admitted case that the present petitioner had suffered financial losses in his business and had taken hand loans from various persons. At a result of which, there was an unpleasant situation occurred in the house, which led to unbearable mental stress to his wife, who ultimately committed suicide by taking poison. The cause of death is also apparent from the P.M. report.

8. Learned counsel for the State has no serious objection in view of the evidence that has come on record. He could also not point out any other material on record to contradict the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner.

9. Regard being had to the period of custody from 19.03.2025, and the nature of evidence come on record



in the trial, I am inclined to admit the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail by the Court in seisin over the matter in the aforesaid case on such terms and conditions as it would deem just and proper, subject to the following additional conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on each date on which the case is posted for trial.
- (ii) He shall not leave the jurisdiction of the trial Court till disposal of the trial.
- (iii) He shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever.

Violation of any of the conditions shall entail consideration for cancellation of the bail granted to the petitioner. In the event, any of the bail conditions are violated by the petitioner, the prosecution is given liberty to move appropriate application before the Court below for recalling the concession of bail. If such



application is moved, the trial Court should decide the application on its own merit.

10. The BLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

(S.S. Mishra)
Judge

Swarna